A1. Determine all possible values of the expression

$$A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC$$

where A, B, and C are nonnegative integers.

**Answer.** The possible values are the nonnegative integers that are either divisible by 9 or *not* divisible by 3.

**Solution 1.** Let  $f(A, B, C) = A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC$ . By direct computation, for nonnegative integers A we have f(A, A, A + 1) = 3A + 1 and f(A, A, A) = 0, while for positive integers A we have f(A, A, A - 1) = 3A - 1 and f(A, A + 1, A - 1) = 9A. This shows that all the values listed in the answer can actually be obtained. To show that no other values are possible, first note that by the AM-GM inequality, for nonnegative A, B, C we have

$$\frac{1}{3}(A^3 + B^3 + C^3) \ge \sqrt[3]{A^3 B^3 C^3} = ABC, \text{ and therefore } f(A, B, C) \ge 0.$$

So when A, B, C are nonnegative integers, the value f(A, B, C) must be a nonnegative integer; it remains to show that if f(A, B, C) is divisible by 3, then it is also divisible by 9. Note that  $f(A, B, C) \equiv A^3 + B^3 + C^3 \equiv A + B + C \pmod{3}$ , so we are concerned with the case that  $A + B + C \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In this case we have C = 3k - A - B for some integer k, and then

$$f(A, B, C) = A^3 + B^3 + (3k - A - B)^3 - 3AB(3k - A - B)$$
  
= 9k(a<sup>2</sup> + ab + b<sup>2</sup> - 3k(a + b) + 3k<sup>2</sup>)

is divisible by 9, completing the proof.

Solution 2. Start by observing the factorization

$$A^{3} + B^{3} + C^{3} - 3ABC = (A + B + C)(A^{2} + B^{2} + C^{2} - AB - BC - CA)$$
$$= (A + B + C) \cdot \frac{(A - B)^{2} + (B - C)^{2} + (C - A)^{2}}{2},$$

from which it is clear that the only possible values are nonnegative integers. For (A, B, C) = (k, k, k) we get 0; for (A, B, C) = (k, k + 1) we get  $(3k + 1) \cdot 1$ ; for (A, B, C) = (k, k + 1, k + 1) we get  $(3k + 2) \cdot 1$ ; and for (A, B, C) = (k, k + 1, k + 2) we get  $(3k + 3) \cdot 3 = 9(k + 1)$ , showing that all the values listed in the answer can actually be obtained. To show that no others are possible, we show that of the two factors A + B + C and  $\frac{(A - B)^2 + (B - C)^2 + (C - A)^2}{2}$ , either both are divisible by 3 or neither is divisible by 3. If A + B + C is divisible by 3, then either A, B, C are all equal mod 3, in which case the second factor is clearly divisible by 3, or A, B, C are all different mod 3, in which case  $(A - B)^2 + (B - C)^2 + (C - A)^2$  is equal to 1 + 1 + 1 modulo 3 and the second factor is again divisible by 3. If A + B + C is not divisible by 3. If A + B + C is divisible by 3. If A + B + C is again divisible by 3. If A + B + C is divisible by 3, or A, B, C are all different mod 3, in which case  $(A - B)^2 + (B - C)^2 + (C - A)^2$  is equal to 1 + 1 + 1 modulo 3 and the second factor is again divisible by 3. If A + B + C is not divisible by 3, then A, B, C take on precisely two different values mod 3 (one twice, the other once), so  $(A - B)^2 + (B - C)^2 + (C - A)^2$  is equal to 0 + 1 + 1 modulo 3 and the second factor is not divisible by 3.

A2. In the triangle  $\triangle ABC$ , let G be the centroid, and let I be the center of the inscribed circle. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the angles at the vertices A and B, respectively. Suppose that the segment IG is parallel to AB and that  $\beta = 2 \tan^{-1}(1/3)$ . Find  $\alpha$ .

Answer.  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**Solution 1.** Recall that I is the intersection point of the angle bisectors, and G is the intersection point of the medians, of the triangle. Let Z be the "foot" of the angle bisector at C (the intersection of that bisector and AB) and M be the midpoint of AB. Then because IG and AB are parallel,  $\Delta CIG$  and  $\Delta CZM$  are similar triangles, so

$$\frac{CI}{IZ} = \frac{CG}{GM} = 2$$

On the other hand, AI is the angle bisector through A in  $\Delta ACZ$ , which divides the opposite side in the ratio of the sides adjacent to A, so AC = 2AZ. Similarly, BC = 2BZ, and adding these two equations we get AC + BC = 2AB. Now use the law of sines to express all the side lengths of  $\Delta ABC$  in terms of BC:

$$AC = BC \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin \alpha}, \ AB = BC \frac{\sin(\pi - \alpha - \beta)}{\sin \alpha} = BC \frac{\sin(\alpha + \beta)}{\sin \alpha},$$

and it follows that

$$\sin\beta + \sin\alpha = 2\,\sin(\alpha + \beta)\,.$$

Given that  $\beta = 2 \tan^{-1}(1/3)$ , we have

$$\sin \beta = 2\sin(\tan^{-1}(1/3))\cos(\tan^{-1}(1/3)) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \cdot \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{3}{5},$$
$$\cos \beta = 2\cos^2(\tan^{-1}(1/3)) - 1 = \frac{4}{5},$$

and using the addition formula for sine we get

$$\frac{3}{5} + \sin \alpha = 2 \sin \alpha \, \cos \beta + 2 \, \cos \alpha \, \sin \beta$$
$$= \frac{8}{5} \sin \alpha + \frac{6}{5} \cos \alpha,$$

which simplifies to

$$\sin \alpha + 2\cos \alpha = 1.$$

If we set  $x = \cos \alpha$ ,  $y = \sin \alpha$  we have 2x + y = 1,  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . Eliminating y yields  $5x^2 - 4x = 0$ , so x = 0 or x = 4/5, but x = 4/5 would yield a negative value for y, which is impossible. So  $\cos \alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = \pi/2$ .

**Solution 2.** Let h be the length of the altitude from C in  $\Delta ABC$ , and let r be the radius of the inscribed circle. Then the area of  $\Delta ABC$  is equal to  $h \cdot AB/2$  and also, as can be seen by dissecting  $\Delta ABC$  into three triangles with a common vertex at I, equal to  $r \cdot (AB + BC + AC)/2$ . On the other hand, because IG is parallel to AB, the distances from I and from G to AB are equal. The distance from I is r, and because the centroid is two-thirds of the way (along the median) from C to AB, the distance from G is h/3. So r = h/3, and comparing the expressions above for the area of the triangle, we see that

$$\frac{1}{3}(AB + BC + AC) = AB$$
, that is,  $BC + AC = 2AB$ 

From here we can proceed as in the first solution, or we can use the law of cosines:

$$AC^{2} = AB^{2} + BC^{2} - 2AB \cdot BC \cos \beta = AB^{2} + BC^{2} - \frac{8}{5}AB \cdot BC, \text{ so}$$
$$(2AB - BC)^{2} = AB^{2} + BC^{2} - \frac{8}{5}AB \cdot BC, \text{ which yields } AB = \frac{4}{5}BC, AC = \frac{3}{5}BC.$$

So  $AB^2 + AC^2 = BC^2$ , and  $\Delta ABC$  is a right triangle with the right angle  $\alpha$  at A.

**A3.** Given real numbers  $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{2019}$  with  $b_{2019} \neq 0$ , let  $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2019}$  be the roots in the complex plane of the polynomial

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{2019} b_k z^k.$$

Let  $\mu = (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_{2019}|)/2019$  be the average of the distances from  $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2019}$  to the origin. Determine the largest constant M such that  $\mu \ge M$  for all choices of  $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{2019}$  that satisfy

$$1 \le b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{2019} \le 2019$$
.

**Answer.** 
$$M = \left(\frac{1}{2019}\right)^{\frac{1}{2019}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[2019]{2019}}$$

Solution. Because the polynomial factors as

$$P(z) = b_{2019}(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_{2019}),$$

its constant term is

$$b_0 = -b_{2019}z_1z_2\cdots z_{2019},$$

and therefore we have

$$|z_1||z_2|\cdots|z_{2019}| = \frac{b_0}{b_{2019}} \ge \frac{1}{2019}$$

By the AM-GM inequality,

$$\mu = (|z_1| + \dots + |z_{2019}|)/2019 \ge (|z_1||z_2| \cdots |z_{2019}|)^{\frac{1}{2019}} \ge \left(\frac{1}{2019}\right)^{\frac{1}{2019}}.$$

So to finish the proof, it is enough to exhibit a specific polynomial for which  $\mu = M$ , where  $M = \left(\frac{1}{2019}\right)^{\frac{1}{2019}}$ . Note that for such a polynomial, all the  $|z_i|$  must be equal to M, and we must have  $b_0 = 1, b_{2019} = 2019$ . Specifically, let  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/2020)$ , a primitive 2020th root of unity. Then the polynomial

$$P(z) = 2019(z - M\omega)(z - M\omega^{2}) \cdots (z - M\omega^{2019})$$
  
= 2019 \cdot \frac{z^{2020} - M^{2020}}{z - M}  
= 2019(z^{2019} + Mz^{2018} + \cdots + M^{2018}z + M^{2019})

has coefficients

 $b_0 = 2019M^{2019} = 1 < b_1 = 2019M^{2018} < \dots < b_{2018} = 2019M < b_{2019} = 2019$ and roots  $z_i = M\omega^i$  with  $|z_i| = M$ , so  $\mu = M$  as desired. A4. Let f be a continuous real-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Suppose that for every sphere S of radius 1, the integral of f(x, y, z) over the surface of S equals 0. Must f(x, y, z) be identically 0?

Answer. No.

**Solution.** We will show that any nonzero continuous function f that depends on just one of the three variables x, y, z and that is periodic with period 2 and average value 0 provides a counterexample. (One such function is  $f(x, y, z) = \sin(\pi z)$ .) Let f be such a function, and assume that f depends only on z. Then to find the surface integral of f(z) over a sphere of radius 1, we may assume without loss of generality that the sphere is centered at (0, 0, c); it can then be parametrized by

 $x = \sin \phi \cos \theta, \ y = \sin \phi \sin \theta, \ z = c + \cos \phi, \ 0 \le \phi \le \pi, \ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi.$ 

For this parametrization  $\mathbf{R}(\phi, \theta) = \langle \sin \phi \cos \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, c + \cos \phi \rangle$  we have the surface area element

$$dS = |\partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \phi \times \partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \theta| \, d\phi \, d\theta$$
  
=  $|\langle \cos \phi \cos \theta, \, \cos \phi \sin \theta, -\sin \phi \rangle \times \langle -\sin \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \cos \theta, 0 \rangle | \, d\phi \, d\theta$   
=  $|\langle \sin^2 \phi \cos \theta, \sin^2 \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \cos \phi \rangle | \, d\phi \, d\theta = \sin \phi \, d\phi \, d\theta.$ 

Therefore, the surface integral is equal to

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(c + \cos \phi) \sin \phi \, d\theta \, d\phi = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\pi} f(c + \cos \phi) \sin \phi \, d\phi$$
$$= 2\pi \int_{c-1}^{c+1} f(z) \, dz.$$

Because the integral is over a full period of f and f has average value 0, the integral is always 0.

**A5.** Let p be an odd prime number, and let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denote the field of integers modulo p. Let  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  be the ring of polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and let  $q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  be given by

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a_k x^k$$

where

$$a_k = k^{(p-1)/2} \mod p.$$

Find the greatest nonnegative integer n such that  $(x-1)^n$  divides q(x) in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

Answer.  $n = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Solution. Let  $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Then the polynomial q(x) can be obtained from the polynomial  $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^k$  by m applications of the linear operator L on  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  defined by L(f(x)) = xf'(x), where the prime denotes taking the formal derivative. Note that if a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is divisible by  $(x-1)^r$ , then L(f(x)) is divisible by  $(x-1)^{r-1}$  (to see this, write  $f(x) = (x-1)^r g(x)$  and differentiate both sides). We now observe that because the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we can write

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^k = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1} = \frac{1-x^p}{1-x} = \frac{(1-x)^p}{1-x} = (1-x)^{p-1},$$

so P(x) is divisible by exactly p-1 = 2m factors x-1, and by the observations above,  $q(x) = L^m(P(x))$  is divisible by at least 2m - m = m factors x - 1. To show that q(x) cannot have more than m factors x - 1 in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , note that the result of applying L another m times to the polynomial q(x) is

$$L^{m}(q(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^{p-1} x^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} x^{k} \text{ (by Fermat's Little Theorem)}$$
$$= P(x) - 1.$$

Because P(x) is divisible by x - 1,  $L^m(q(x))$  is not, so q(x) cannot have more than m factors x - 1, showing that n = m.

A6. Let g be a real-valued function that is continuous on the closed interval [0, 1] and twice differentiable on the open interval (0, 1). Suppose that for some real r > 1,

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{x^r} = 0$$

Prove that either

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} g'(x) = 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \limsup_{x \to 0^+} x^r |g''(x)| = \infty.$$

**Solution.** Throughout this solution, we will abbreviate  $\lim_{x\to 0^+}$  by  $\lim_{x\to 0^+}$ , and similarly for  $\limsup_{x\to 0^+}$  and  $\liminf_{x\to 0^+}$ . Suppose that under the assumptions of the problem,  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} g'(x) \neq 0$ . We will show that then  $\limsup_{x\to 0} x^r |g''(x)| = \infty$ . First of all, from the given limit of  $g(x)/x^r$  we have g(0) = 0. We claim that

$$\liminf g'(x) \le 0 \le \limsup g'(x). \quad (*)$$

In fact, if the left-hand inequality would fail, there would be some positive constant c and some interval  $(0, \delta)$  on which g'(x) > c. But that would imply g(x) > cx on this interval, in contradiction with the given limit. The argument for the right-hand inequality is similar. Also, if both inequalities were equalities, then we would have  $\lim g'(x) = 0$  after all, so at least one of the two inequalities in (\*) must be strict.

Now suppose that the inequality  $\limsup g'(x) > 0$  is strict. (The other case is completely analogous.) Choose a positive constant  $C < \limsup g'(x)$ . Then because g' is continuous on (0,1) and  $\liminf g'(x) \leq 0$ , we can find a sequence  $(b_n)$  of real numbers tending to zero such that  $g'(b_n) = C$ , and for each  $b_n$  we can find a number  $a_n$  with  $0 < a_n < b_n$  such that  $g'(a_n) = C/2$  and such that on the interval  $[a_n, b_n], g'(x) \geq C/2$ . By the Mean Value Theorem on that interval, we then have  $g(b_n) - g(a_n) \geq C(b_n - a_n)/2$ , so at least one of  $|g(b_n)| \geq C(b_n - a_n)/4$  and  $|g(a_n)| \ge C(b_n - a_n)/4$  must hold. Let

$$t_n = \frac{b_n - a_n}{b_n^r}.$$

Then because we know  $\lim g(x)/x^r = 0$ , it follows that  $t_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , and in particular,  $a_n/b_n$  approaches 1 as  $n \to \infty$ .

Finally, applying the Mean Value Theorem to g' on the interval  $[a_n, b_n]$  shows the existence of  $u_n \in (a_n, b_n)$  such that

$$g''(u_n) = \frac{g'(b_n) - g'(a_n)}{b_n - a_n} = \frac{C - C/2}{b_n - a_n} = \frac{C}{2t_n b_n^r}.$$

If we take *n* sufficiently large so that  $a_n/b_n \ge (2/3)^{1/r}$ , we will have  $3u_n^r > 3a_n^r \ge 2b_n^r$ and thus

$$g''(u_n) \ge \frac{C}{3t_n u_n^r}$$
, that is,  $u_n^r g''(u_n) \ge \frac{C}{3t_n}$ .

As  $t_n$  approaches 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , it follows that  $\limsup x^r g''(x) = \infty$ .

**B1.** Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  the set of all points (x, y) in the plane with integer coordinates. For each integer  $n \ge 0$ , let  $P_n$  be the subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  consisting of the point (0,0) together with all points (x, y) such that  $x^2 + y^2 = 2^k$  for some integer  $k \le n$ . Determine, as a function of n, the number of four-point subsets of  $P_n$  whose elements are the vertices of a square.

Answer. 5n + 1.

**Solution.** Let  $S_k$  be the set of all points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 = 2^k$ , so that

$$P_n = \{(0,0)\} \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^n S_k.$$

Then  $S_0 = \{(1,0), (-1,0), (0,1), (0,-1)\}$  and  $S_1 = \{(1,1), (1,-1), (-1,1), (-1,-1)\}$ . For  $k \ge 2$  and any  $(x,y) \in S_k$ , we have  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , so because 0 and 1 are the only squares (mod 4), x and y must both be even. If we put  $x = 2x_1, y = 2y_1$ , then  $4(x_1^2 + y_1^2) = 2^k$ , so  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 = 2^{k-2}$  and  $(x_1, y_1) \in S_{k-2}$ . It follows by induction on k that

$$S_k = \{(2^q, 0), (-2^q, 0), (0, 2^q), (0, -2^q)\}$$
for  $k = 2q$  even and  
$$S_k = \{(2^t, 2^t), (2^t, -2^t), (-2^t, 2^t), (-2^t, -2^t)\}$$
for  $k = 2t + 1$  odd.

Note that for any k, the four points of  $S_k$  form the vertices of a square; also, for any q there are four squares with one vertex at the origin, two vertices in  $S_{2q}$ , and one vertex in  $S_{2q+1}$  (the square with vertices  $(0,0), (2^q,0), (2^q,2^q), (0,2^q)$  and the three squares obtained from it by rotation through  $\pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$  around the origin), and for any t there are four squares with one vertex at the origin, two vertices in  $S_{2t+1}$ , and one vertex in  $S_{2t+2}$  (the square with vertices  $(0,0), (2^t,2^t), (0,2^{t+1}), (-2^t,2^t)$  and the three squares obtained from it by rotation). Thus when we pass from  $P_n$  to  $P_{n+1}$  by including the points in  $S_n$ , we get at least five additional squares, whether n is even or odd. Because there is exactly one four-point subset of  $P_0$  (namely  $S_0$ ) that gives a square, there will be exactly 5n + 1 such subsets of  $P_n$ , provided that the only squares of which all vertices are in the set

$$P_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n = \{(0,0)\} \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k$$

are the ones we have mentioned so far.

To see that there are no additional such squares, first note that for all  $k \ge 2$ , all points in  $S_k$  have only even coordinates; if we have a square for which each vertex is in  $\{(0,0)\} \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} S_k$ , we can scale down all coordinates by a factor 2 and get another square of which all vertices are in  $P_{\infty}$ . Thus it is sufficient to consider squares for which all vertices are in  $P_{\infty}$  and at least one vertex is in  $S_0 \cup S_1$ .

It is impossible to have just *one* of the vertices of such a square be in  $S_0 \cup S_1$ , because the square of the side length from that vertex to any other vertex would be 1 or 2 mod 4, whereas the square of a side length not involving that vertex would be 0 mod 4. By the same argument, if exactly *two* of the vertices of such a square are in  $S_0 \cup S_1$ , those two must be opposite vertices of the square. And if three or four of the vertices of such a square are in  $S_0 \cup S_1$ , we can choose two such vertices that are

opposite each other. Thus it is enough to analyze squares of which all vertices are in  $P_{\infty}$  and two opposite vertices are in  $S_0 \cup S_1$ .

If one of the two opposite vertices in  $S_0 \cup S_1$  is in  $S_0$ , up to rotational symmetry we can assume it is (1,0). Then it can be checked by a quick case analysis that the vertex of the square opposite it cannot be in  $S_1$ ; if it is (-1,0), then the vertices of the square are the four points of  $S_0$ , otherwise it is (0,1) up to reflectional symmetry, and the vertices of the square are (0,0), (1,0), (1,1), (0,1). The final possibility is that the two opposite vertices in  $S_0 \cup S_1$  are both in  $S_1$ , in which case we can assume up to symmetry that they are (1,1) and (-1,-1) (and the vertices of the square are all the points of  $S_1$ ) or (1,1) and (-1,1) (and the vertices of the square are (0,0), (1,1), (0,2), (-1,1)). We have now checked that the only possible squares whose vertices are all in  $P_n$  are the 5n + 1 squares found above.

**B2.** For all  $n \ge 1$ , let

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n})}{\cos^2(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2n})\cos^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})} .$$

Determine

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{n^3}$$

Answer.  $\frac{8}{\pi^3}$ . Solution. Let  $\theta_n = \frac{\pi}{2n}$ , and note that  $\sin \theta_n \neq 0$ . Then we have  $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin((2k-1)\theta_n)}{\cos^2((k-1)\theta_n)\cos^2(k\theta_n)}$   $= \frac{1}{\sin \theta_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin((2k-1)\theta_n)\sin \theta_n}{\cos^2((k-1)\theta_n)\cos^2(k\theta_n)}$   $= \frac{1}{\sin \theta_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos((2k-2)\theta_n) - \cos(2k\theta_n)}{\cos^2((k-1)\theta_n)\cos^2(k\theta_n)}$   $= \frac{1}{\sin \theta_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\cos^2((k-1)\theta_n) - 1 - (2\cos^2(k\theta_n) - 1)}{\cos^2((k-1)\theta_n)\cos^2(k\theta_n)}$  $= \frac{1}{\sin \theta_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\frac{1}{\cos^2(k\theta_n)} - \frac{1}{\cos^2((k-1)\theta_n)}).$ 

We now see that the sum telescopes, and we get

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sin \theta_n} \left( \frac{1}{\cos^2((n-1)\theta_n)} - 1 \right).$$

Because  $n\theta_n = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have  $\cos((n-1)\theta_n) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_n) = \sin\theta_n$ , so  $a_n = \frac{1}{\sin^3\theta_n} - \frac{1}{\sin\theta_n}$ . Now let  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $\theta_n \to 0$ , so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \sin \theta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \theta_n = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{n^3} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(n \sin \theta_n)^3} - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 (n \sin \theta_n)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\pi/2)^3} - 0 = \frac{8}{\pi^3}.$$

**B3.** Let Q be an *n*-by-*n* real orthogonal matrix, and let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a unit column vector (that is,  $u^T u = 1$ ). Let  $P = I - 2uu^T$ , where I is the *n*-by-*n* identity matrix. Show that if 1 is not an eigenvalue of Q, then 1 is an eigenvalue of PQ.

**Solution.** Note that  $P(u) = u - 2uu^T u = u - 2u = -u$ , while if  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector orthogonal to u, that is, if  $u^T v = 0$ , we have  $P(v) = v - 2uu^T v = v$ . So P has a one-dimensional eigenspace for the eigenvalue  $\lambda = -1$  and an (n - 1)-dimensional eigenspace for the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ , and thus det(P) = -1. Also, P is an orthogonal matrix; this can be seen geometrically by noting that P is the matrix of the reflection in the hyperplane through the origin with normal vector u, or by direct computation:

$$P^{T}P = (I - 2(uu^{T})^{T})(1 - 2uu^{T}) = (1 - 2uu^{T})(1 - 2uu^{T})$$
$$= 1 - 4uu^{T} + 4u(u^{T}u)u^{T} = 1 - 4uu^{T} + 4uu^{T} = 1.$$

Now recall that any orthogonal matrix has determinant  $\pm 1$ , and that the product of orthogonal matrices is orthogonal. Therefore, because  $\det(P) = -1$ , we know Qand PQ are orthogonal matrices of the same size that have opposite determinants  $\pm 1$ . The desired result now follows immediately from the following.

**Lemma.** If A is an *n*-by-*n* real orthogonal matrix such that either (i) det(A) = 1 and n is odd or (ii) det(A) = -1 and n is even, then 1 is an eigenvalue of A.

To prove the lemma, first let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be any eigenvalue of A and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be an associated eigenvector. Then, taking complex conjugates,  $Av = \lambda v$  yields  $A\overline{v} = \overline{\lambda}\overline{v}$ , so

$$(A\overline{v})^T A v = \overline{\lambda} \lambda \, \overline{v}^T v = |\lambda|^2 |v|^2, \quad \text{while also} (A\overline{v})^T A v = \overline{v}^T (A^T A) v = \overline{v}^T v = |v|^2.$$

Because  $|v| \neq 0$ , it follows that  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Thus the eigenvalues of A that are not 1 or -1 must occur in complex conjugate pairs for which  $\lambda \overline{\lambda} = 1$ . The product of all the eigenvalues (counting multiplicity) is det(A), and if we leave out the complex conjugate pairs, the product of the real eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  will still be det(A). If n is odd, the number of real eigenvalues is odd, but to get det(A) = 1 the number of factors -1must be even, so the eigenvalue 1 must occur at least once. Similarly, if n is even, the number of real eigenvalues is even (in general, possibly zero), but to get det(A) = -1the number of factors -1 must be odd, and again the eigenvalue 1 must occur.

**B4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of functions f(x, y) that are twice continuously differentiable for  $x \ge 1, y \ge 1$  and that satisfy the following two equations (where subscripts denote partial derivatives):

$$xf_x + yf_y = xy\ln(xy),$$
  
$$x^2f_{xx} + y^2f_{yy} = xy.$$

For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , let

$$m(f) = \min_{s \ge 1} \left( f(s+1,s+1) - f(s+1,s) - f(s,s+1) + f(s,s) \right).$$

Determine m(f), and show that it is independent of the choice of f.

Answer.  $m(f) = 2 \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}$ , independently of the choice of  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Solution. First note that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\begin{split} f(s+1,s+1) - f(s+1,s) &- f(s,s+1) + f(s,s) = \\ &= (f(s+1,s+1) - f(s,s+1)) - ((f(s+1,s) - f(s,s))) \\ &= \int_{s}^{s+1} f_{x}(x,s+1) \, dx - \int_{s}^{s+1} f_{x}(x,s) \, dx \\ &= \int_{s}^{s+1} (f_{x}(x,s+1) - f_{x}(x,s)) \, dx \\ &= \int_{s}^{s+1} \int_{s}^{s+1} f_{xy}(x,y) \, dy \, dx, \end{split}$$

so to find m(f) we must minimize this double integral. We now use the given partial differential equations to find  $f_{xy}$ . Taking partial derivatives of both sides of  $xf_x + yf_y = xy \ln(xy)$  with respect to each of x and y, we get the two equations

$$f_x + xf_{xx} + yf_{yx} = y\ln(xy) + y, \quad xf_{xy} + f_y + yf_{yy} = x\ln(xy) + x.$$
 (\*)

Note that because f is twice continuously differentiable,  $f_{yx} = f_{xy}$ . If we multiply the first equation in (\*) by x and the second equation by y and add the results, we obtain

$$(xf_x + yf_y) + (x^2f_{xx} + y^2f_{yy}) + 2xyf_{xy} = 2xy\ln(xy) + 2xy.$$

Using the two given equations to replace the bracketed expressions on the left and then dividing by 2xy leads to

$$f_{xy} = \frac{1}{2}(\ln(xy) + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(\ln x + \ln y + 1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} m(f) &= \min_{s \ge 1} \int_{s}^{s+1} \int_{s}^{s+1} \frac{1}{2} (\ln x + \ln y + 1) \, dy \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min_{s \ge 1} \int_{s}^{s+1} (\ln x + 1 + \int_{s}^{s+1} \ln y \, dy) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min_{s \ge 1} \left( \int_{s}^{s+1} \ln x \, dx + 1 + \int_{s}^{s+1} \ln y \, dy \right) \\ &= \min_{s \ge 1} \left( \int_{s}^{s+1} \ln t \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{split}$$

Because the function ln is increasing, the minimum occurs for s = 1, and so

$$m(f) = \frac{1}{2} + \int_{1}^{2} \ln t \, dt = \frac{1}{2} + (t \ln t - t) \Big|_{t=1}^{2} = 2 \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Comment.** With some additional calculation it can be shown that the functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  are exactly those of the form

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}xy\ln(xy) - \frac{1}{2}xy + C(\ln x - \ln y) + D,$$

where C and D are arbitrary constants.

**B5.** Let  $F_m$  be the *m*th Fibonacci number, defined by  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$  and  $F_m = F_{m-1} + F_{m-2}$  for all  $m \ge 3$ . Let p(x) be the polynomial of degree 1008 such that  $p(2n+1) = F_{2n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 1008$ . Find integers j and k such that  $p(2019) = F_j - F_k$ .

**Answer.**  $p(2019) = F_{2019} - F_{1010}$ , so j = 2019, k = 1010.

**Solution 1.** More generally, let  $p_N(x)$  be the polynomial of degree N such that  $p_N(2n+1) = F_{2n+1}$  for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N. We will show by induction on N that  $p_N(2N+3) = F_{2N+3} - F_{N+2}$ ; setting N = 1008 then gives the desired answer. For the basis step,  $p_1(x)$  is the linear polynomial with  $p_1(1) = 1$ ,  $p_1(3) = 2$ , so  $p_1(x) = (x+1)/2$  and  $p_1(5) = 3 = F_5 - F_3$ . To start the induction step, note that  $p_N(x)$  and  $p_{N-1}(x)$  have the same values for  $x = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2N - 1$ , and therefore there is a constant  $c_N$  such that

$$p_N(x) = p_{N-1}(x) + c_N(x-1)(x-3)\cdots(x-(2N-1)).$$

We can find  $c_N$  by substituting x = 2N + 1 and using the induction hypothesis  $p_{N-1}(2N+1) = F_{2N+1} - F_{N+1}$ , which yields

$$F_{2N+1} = F_{2N+1} - F_{N+1} + c_N(2N)(2N-2)\cdots 2$$
 and thus  $c_N = \frac{F_{N+1}}{2^N N!}$ .

It follows that

$$p_N(x) = (x+1)/2 + c_2(x-1)(x-3) + \dots + c_N(x-1)(x-3) \dots (x-(2N-1))$$
$$= (x+1)/2 + \sum_{i=2}^N \frac{F_{i+1}}{2^i i!} (x-1)(x-3) \dots (x-(2i-1)),$$

and in particular

$$p_N(2N+3) = N+2 + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{F_{i+1}}{2^i i!} (2N+2)(2N) \cdots (2N-2i+4)$$
$$= N+2 + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{F_{i+1}(N+1)N \cdots (N-i+2)}{i!}$$
$$= N+2 + \sum_{i=2}^{N} F_{i+1} \binom{N+1}{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N} F_{i+1} \binom{N+1}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} F_{i+1} \binom{N+1}{i} - F_{N+2}.$$

Thus the induction on N will be complete if we can prove that  $\sum_{i=0}^{K} F_{i+1} {K \choose i} = F_{2K+1}$  for any positive integer K. This in turn follows from the more general fact

$$\sum_{i=0}^{K} F_{i+m}\binom{K}{i} = F_{2K+m} \,,$$

which is true for all positive integers K and m and can be shown by a relatively straightforward induction on K (the generality helps because the induction step uses the induction hypothesis both for m and for m + 1).

Solution 2. By Binet's formula, we have

$$F_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2n+1} - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{2n+1} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} r_1^n - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} r_2^n,$$

where  $r_1, r_2$  are given by

$$r_1 = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2, \ r_2 = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} = \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2$$

Therefore, if we define  $q_1(x), q_2(x)$  to be the polynomials of degree 1008 such that

$$q_1(2n+1) = r_1^n$$
 and  $q_2(2n+1) = r_2^n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 1008$ ,

we will have

$$p(x) = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}q_1(x) - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}q_2(x).$$

Thus the following fact about interpolating polynomials will be useful.

**Lemma**. If q(x) is the polynomial of degree N such that  $q(n) = r^n$  for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N, where r is some fixed real number, then  $q(N+1) = r^{N+1} - (r-1)^{N+1}$ . To prove the lemma, first define

$$T_{N,k}(x) = \prod_{\substack{j=0\\j \neq k}}^{N} (x-j) \text{ and } Q_{N,k}(x) = \frac{T_{N,k}(x)}{T_{N,k}(k)}.$$

Then  $Q_{N,k}(x)$  is the polynomial of degree N such that for integers n with  $0 \le n \le N$ , we have  $Q_{N,k}(n) = \delta_{n,k}$ , where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta. Therefore, q(x) is the linear combination

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} r^k Q_{N,k}(x)$$

of these "basic" interpolating polynomials. We then get

$$q(N+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} r^{k} Q_{N,k}(N+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} r^{k} \frac{T_{N,k}(N+1)}{T_{N,k}(k)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N} r^{k} \frac{(N+1)!/(N+1-k)}{k! (-1)^{N-k} (N-k)!}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^{N-k} r^{k} \frac{(N+1)!}{k! (N+1-k)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^{N-k} r^{k} \binom{N+1}{k}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (-1)^{N+1-k} r^{k} \binom{N+1}{k} + r^{N+1} = r^{N+1} - (r-1)^{N+1},$$

proving the lemma.

The lemma applies to the polynomials  $q(x) = q_1(2x+1)$  and  $q(x) = q_2(2x+1)$ , so we can compute

$$p(2019) = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}q_1(2019) - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}q_2(2019)$$
  
=  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}(r_1^{1009} - (r_1 - 1)^{1009}) - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}(r_2^{1009} - (r_2 - 1)^{1009})$   
=  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}((\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})^{2019} - (\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2})^{2019}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}((\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})^{1010} - (\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2})^{1010})$   
=  $F_{2019} - F_{1010}$ ,

where we have used that  $r_1 - 1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $r_2 - 1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

- **B6.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  be the integer lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Two points in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  are called *neighbors* if they differ by exactly 1 in one coordinate and are equal in all other coordinates. For which integers  $n \ge 1$  does there exist a set of points  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying the following two conditions?
  - (1) If p is in S, then none of the neighbors of p is in S.
  - (2) If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is not in S, then exactly one of the neighbors of p is in S.

**Solution.** We will show how to construct such a subset for every n. Because each point in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  has exactly 2n neighbors, for each point there is a set of size 2n + 1 (consisting of its neighbors and itself) of which exactly one element should be in S. This may suggest looking at congruences modulo 2n + 1. More specifically, for each integer k with  $0 \le k \le 2n$  we can define a subset  $S_k$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by

$$S_k = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \, | \, x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 + \dots + (2n-1)x_n \equiv k \mod (2n+1) \}.$$

It is immediate that these 2n + 1 subsets partition  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ; we claim that any of the subsets has the desired properties for S. To see this, let

 $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + 3x_2 + \dots + (2n-1)x_n$ , so that

 $S_k = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \equiv k \mod (2n+1)\}$ . Note that moving from a point  $p = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  to one of its neighbors adds one of the numbers  $\pm 1, \pm 3, \ldots, \pm (2n-1)$  to the value of  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ . Because these numbers represent all the nonzero

congruence classes mod (2n+1)

(specifically,  $1 \equiv 1, 2 \equiv -(2n-1), 3 \equiv 3, 4 \equiv -(2n-3), \dots, 2n-1 \equiv 2n-1, 2n \equiv -1$ ), for any k exactly one of the point p and its 2n neighbors is guaranteed to be in the set  $S_k$ , as desired.