

Introduction to Limits, or Why Can't We Just Trust the Table?

Allen J. Schwenk (schwenk@wmich.edu), Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5152

In a first-semester calculus class, or in any class where limits have just been introduced, students tend to think that they can reliably predict the correct limit for $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ by selecting a few well-chosen values for x near a . Moreover, they are certain the professor is just being picky by insisting on developing theorems and algebraic methods for “proving” limits.

I find that the following example produces spirited debate about the “correct” limiting value. Ultimately, it convinces the class that *strange things can happen*.

First, I partition the class into nine groups and assign each group a different digit d from $d = 1$ to $d = 9$. Each group is then asked to predict the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin \frac{\pi}{x}$$

by completing the following table, where d stands for their assigned digit.

x	$f(x) = \sin \frac{\pi}{x}$
$0.d$	
$0.0d$	
$0.00d$	
$0.000d$	
$0.0000d$	
$0.00000d$	

The curious fact is that eight of the groups will generally conclude that this function has a limit, while the ninth group will think there is no limit. But among the eight groups observing a limit, five get 0, and one each gets the values

$$0.866025403784 \dots$$

$$-0.866025403784 \dots$$

$$-0.342020143 \dots$$

Of course the knowledgeable reader understands why this function has no limit, but the selection process has been rigged to lead most students to various faulty answers.

I leave it to you and to your class:

- to deduce what these three curious decimals represent,
- to discover which d gives which “limit,” and
- to explain why!

————— o —————