Book 10
Proposition 94

If an area is contained by a rational (straight-line) and a fourth apotome then the square-root of the area is a minor (straight-line).





For let the area AB have been contained by the rational (straight-line) AC and the fourth apotome AD. I say that the square-root of area AB is a minor (straight-line). For let DG be an attachment to AD. Thus, AG and DG are rational (straight-lines which are) commensurable in square only [Prop. 10.73], and AG is commensurable in length with the (previously) laid down rational (straight-line) AC, and the square on the whole, AG, is greater than (the square on) the attachment, DG, by the square on (some straight-line) incommensurable in length with (AG) [Def. 10.14]. Therefore, since the square on AG is greater than (the square on) GD by the (square) on (some straight-line) incommensurable in length with (AG), thus if (some area), equal to the fourth part of the (square) on DG, is applied to AG, falling short by a square figure, then it divides (AG) into (parts which are) incommensurable (in length) [Prop. 10.18]. Therefore, let DG have been cut in half at E, and let (some area), equal to the (square) on EG, have been ap-

plied to AG, falling short by a square figure, and let it be the (rectangle contained) by AF and FG. Thus, AF is incommensurable in length with FG. Therefore, let EH, FI, and GK have been drawn through E, F, and G (respectively), parallel to AC and BD. Therefore, since AG is rational, and commensurable in length with AC, the whole (area) AK is thus rational [Prop. 10.19]. Again, since DG is incommensurable in length with AC, and both are rational (straight-lines), DK is thus a medial (area) [Prop. 10.21]. Again, since AF is incommensurable in length with FG, AI (is) thus also incommensurable with FK [Props. 6.1, 10.11].

Therefore, let the square LM, equal to AI, have been constructed. And let NO, equal to FK, (and) about the same angle, LPM, have been subtracted (from LM). Thus, the squares LM and NO are about the same diagonal [Prop. 6.26]. Let PR be their (common) diagonal, and let the (rest of the) figure have been drawn. Therefore, since the (rectangle contained) by AF and FG is equal to the (square) on EG, thus, proportionally, as AF is to EG, so EG (is) to FG [Prop. 6.17]. But, as AF (is) to EG, so AI is to EK, and as EG (is) to FG, so EK is to FK [Prop. 6.1]. Thus, EK is the mean proportional to AI and FK [Prop. 5.11]. And MN is also the mean proportional to the squares LM and NO [Prop. 10.13 lem.], and AI is equal to LM, and FK to NO. EK is thus also equal to MN. But, DH is equal to EK, and LO is equal to MN [Prop. 1.43]. Thus, the whole of DK is equal to the gnomon UVW and NO. Therefore, since the whole of AK is equal to the (sum of

the) squares LM and NO, of which DK is equal to the gnomon UVW and the square NO, the remainder AB is thus equal to ST—that is to say, to the square on LN. Thus, LN is the square-root of area AB. I say that LN is the irrational (straight-line which is) called minor.

For since AK is rational, and is equal to the (sum of the) squares LP and PN, the sum of the (squares) on LP and PN is thus rational. Again, since DK is medial, and DK is equal to twice the (rectangle contained) by LP and PN, thus twice the (rectangle contained) by LP and PN is medial. And since AI was shown (to be) incommensurable with FK, the square on LP (is) thus also incommensurable with the square on PN. Thus, LP and PN are (straight-lines which are) incommensurable in square, making the sum of the squares on them rational, and twice the (rectangle contained) by them medial. LN is thus the irrational (straight-line) called minor [Prop. 10.76]. And it is the square-root of area AB.

Thus, the square-root of area AB is a minor (straight-line). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.