

at right-angles to the planes of the cube. And let them be made equal to RP , PS , and TQ . And let UB , BW , WC , CV , and VU have been joined.

I say that the pentagon $UBWCV$ is equilateral, and in one plane, and, further, equiangular. For let RB , SB , and VB have been joined. And since the straight-line NP has been cut in extreme and mean ratio at R , and RP is the greater piece, the (sum of the squares) on PN and NR is thus three times the (square) on RP [Prop. 13.4]. And PN (is) equal to NB , and PR to RU . Thus, the (sum of the squares) on BN and NR is three times the (square) on RU . And the (square) on BR is equal to the (sum of the squares) on BN and NR [Prop. 1.47]. Thus, the (square) on BR is three times the (square) on RU . Hence, the (sum of the squares) on BR and RU is four times the (square) on RU . And the (square) on BU is equal to the (sum of the squares) on BR and RU [Prop. 1.47]. Thus, the (square) on BU is four times the (square) on UR . Thus, BU is double RU . And VU is also double UR , inasmuch as SR is also double PR —that is to say, RU . Thus, BU (is) equal to UV . So, similarly, it can be shown that each of BW , WC , CV is equal to each of BU and UV . Thus, pentagon $BUVCW$ is equilateral. So, I say that it is also in one plane. For let PX have been drawn from P , parallel to each of RU and SV , on the exterior side of the cube. And let XH and HW have been joined. I say that XHW is a straight-line. For since HQ has been cut in extreme and mean ratio at T , and QT is its greater piece, thus as HQ is to QT , so QT (is) to TH . And HQ (is) equal to HP , and QT to each of TW

and PX . Thus, as HP is to PX , so WT (is) to TH . And HP is parallel to TW . For of each of them is at right-angles to the plane BD [Prop. 11.6]. And TH (is parallel) to PX . For each of them is at right-angles to the plane BF [Prop. 11.6]. And if two triangles, like XPH and HTW , having two sides proportional to two sides, are placed together at a single angle such that their corresponding sides are also parallel then the remaining sides will be straight-on (to one another) [Prop. 6.32]. Thus, XH is straight-on to HW . And every straight-line is in one plane [Prop. 11.1]. Thus, pentagon $UBWCV$ is in one plane.

So, I say that it is also equiangular.

For since the straight-line NP has been cut in extreme and mean ratio at R , and PR is the greater piece [thus as the sum of NP and PR is to PN , so NP (is) to PR], and PR (is) equal to PS [thus as SN is to NP , so NP (is) to PS], NS has thus also been cut in extreme and mean ratio at P , and NP is the greater piece [Prop. 13.5]. Thus, the (sum of the squares) on NS and SP is three times the (square) on NP [Prop. 13.4]. And NP (is) equal to NB , and PS to SV . Thus, the (sum of the squares) on NS and SV is three times the (square) on NB . Hence, the (sum of the squares) on VS , SN , and NB is four times the (square) on NB . And the (square) on SB is equal to the (sum of the squares) on SN and NB [Prop. 1.47]. Thus, the (sum of the squares) on BS and SV —that is to say, the (square) on BV [for angle VS (is) a right-angle]—is four times the (square) on NB [Def. 11.3, Prop. 1.47]. Thus, BV is double BN .

And BC (is) also double BN . Thus, BV is equal to BC . And since the two (straight-lines) BU and UV are equal to the two (straight-lines) BW and WC (respectively), and the base BV (is) equal to the base BC , angle BUV is thus equal to angle BWC [Prop. 1.8]. So, similarly, we can show that angle UVC is equal to angle BWC . Thus, the three angles BWC , BUV , and UVC are equal to one another. And if three angles of an equilateral pentagon are equal to one another then the pentagon is equiangular [Prop. 13.7]. Thus, pentagon $BUVCW$ is equiangular. And it was also shown (to be) equilateral. Thus, pentagon $BUVCW$ is equilateral and equiangular, and it is on one of the sides, BC , of the cube. Thus, if we make the same construction on each of the twelve sides of the cube then some solid figure contained by twelve equilateral and equiangular pentagons will have been constructed, which is called a dodecahedron.

So, it is necessary to enclose it in the given sphere, and to show that the side of the dodecahedron is that irrational (straight-line) called an apotome.

For let XP have been produced, and let (the produced straight-line) be XZ . Thus, PZ meets the diameter of the cube, and they cut one another in half. For, this has been proved in the penultimate theorem of the eleventh book [Prop. 11.38]. Let them cut (one another) at Z . Thus, Z is the center of the sphere enclosing the cube, and ZP (is) half the side of the cube. So, let UZ have been joined. And since the straight-line NS has been cut in extreme and mean ratio at P , and its greater piece is NP , the (sum of the squares) on NS and SP is thus

three times the (square) on NP [Prop. 13.4]. And NS (is) equal to XZ , inasmuch as NP is also equal to PZ , and XP to PS . But, indeed, PS (is) also (equal) to XU , since (it is) also (equal) to RP . Thus, the (sum of the squares) on ZX and XU is three times the (square) on NP . And the (square) on UZ is equal to the (sum of the squares) on ZX and XU [Prop. 1.47]. Thus, the (square) on UZ is three times the (square) on NP . And the square on the radius of the sphere enclosing the cube is also three times the (square) on half the side of the cube. For it has previously been demonstrated (how to) construct the cube, and to enclose (it) in a sphere, and to show that the square on the diameter of the sphere is three times the (square) on the side of the cube [Prop. 13.15]. And if the (square on the) whole (is three times) the (square on the) whole, then the (square on the) half (is) also (three times) the (square on the) half. And NP is half of the side of the cube. Thus, UZ is equal to the radius of the sphere enclosing the cube. And Z is the center of the sphere enclosing the cube. Thus, point U is on the surface of the sphere. So, similarly, we can show that each of the remaining angles of the dodecahedron is also on the surface of the sphere. Thus, the dodecahedron has been enclosed by the given sphere.

So, I say that the side of the dodecahedron is that irrational straight-line called an apotome.

For since RP is the greater piece of NP , which has been cut in extreme and mean ratio, and PS is the greater piece of PO , which has been cut in extreme and mean ratio, RS is thus the greater piece of the whole

of NO , which has been cut in extreme and mean ratio. [Thus, since as NP is to PR , (so) PR (is) to RN , and (the same is also true) of the doubles. For parts have the same ratio as similar multiples (taken in corresponding order) [Prop. 5.15]. Thus, as NO (is) to RS , so RS (is) to the sum of NR and SO . And NO (is) greater than RS . Thus, RS (is) also greater than the sum of NR and SO [Prop. 5.14]. Thus, NO has been cut in extreme and mean ratio, and RS is its greater piece.] And RS (is) equal to UV . Thus, UV is the greater piece of NO , which has been cut in extreme and mean ratio. And since the diameter of the sphere is rational, and the square on it is three times the (square) on the side of the cube, NO , which is the side of the cube, is thus rational. And if a rational (straight)-line is cut in extreme and mean ratio then each of the pieces is the irrational (straight-line called) an apotome.

Thus, UV , which is the side of the dodecahedron, is the irrational (straight-line called) an apotome [Prop. 13.6].

Corollary

So, (it is) clear, from this, that the side of the dodecahedron is the greater piece of the side of the cube, when it is cut in extreme and mean ratio. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.