

## Book 10

### Proposition 73

If a rational (straight-line), which is commensurable in square only with the whole, is subtracted from a (nother) rational (straight-line) then the remainder is an irrational (straight-line). Let it be called an apotome.



For let the rational (straight-line)  $BC$ , which commensurable in square only with the whole, have been subtracted from the rational (straight-line)  $AB$ . I say that the remainder  $AC$  is that irrational (straight-line) called an apotome.

For since  $AB$  is incommensurable in length with  $BC$ , and as  $AB$  is to  $BC$ , so the (square) on  $AB$  (is) to the (rectangle contained) by  $AB$  and  $BC$  [Prop. 10.21 lem.], the (square) on  $AB$  is thus incommensurable with the (rectangle contained) by  $AB$  and  $BC$  [Prop. 10.11]. But, the (sum of the) squares on  $AB$  and  $BC$  is commensurable with the (square) on  $AB$  [Prop. 10.15], and twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AB$  and  $BC$  is commensurable with the (rectangle contained) by  $AB$  and  $BC$  [Prop. 10.6]. And, inasmuch as the (sum of the squares) on  $AB$  and  $BC$  is equal to twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AB$  and  $BC$  plus the (square) on  $CA$  [Prop. 2.7], the (sum of the squares) on  $AB$  and  $BC$  is thus also incommensurable with the remaining (square) on  $AC$  [Props. 10.13, 10.16]. And the (sum of the squares) on  $AB$  and  $BC$  is rational.  $AC$  is thus an irrational (straight-line) [Def. 10.4]. And let it be called an apotome.<sup>†</sup> (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.