

# Book 10

## Proposition 79

[Only] one rational straight-line, which is commensurable in square only with the whole, can be attached to an apotome.



Let  $AB$  be an apotome, with  $BC$  (so) attached to it.  $AC$  and  $CB$  are thus rational (straight-lines which are) commensurable in square only [Prop. 10.73]. I say that another rational (straight-line), which is commensurable in square only with the whole, cannot be attached to  $AB$ .

For, if possible, let  $BD$  be (so) attached (to  $AB$ ). Thus,  $AD$  and  $DB$  are also rational (straight-lines which are) commensurable in square only [Prop. 10.73]. And since by whatever (area) the (sum of the squares) on  $AD$  and  $DB$  exceeds twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AD$  and  $DB$ , the (sum of the squares) on  $AC$  and  $CB$  also exceeds twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AC$  and  $CB$  by this (same area). For both exceed by the same (area)—(namely), the (square) on  $AB$  [Prop. 2.7]. Thus, alternately, by whatever (area) the (sum of the squares) on  $AD$  and  $DB$  exceeds the (sum of the squares) on  $AC$  and  $CB$ , twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AD$  and  $DB$  [also] exceeds twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AC$  and  $CB$  by this (same area). And the (sum of the squares) on  $AD$  and  $DB$  exceeds the (sum of the squares) on  $AC$  and  $CB$  by a rational (area). For both (are) rational (areas). Thus, twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AD$  and

$DB$  also exceeds twice the (rectangle contained) by  $AC$  and  $CB$  by a rational (area). The very thing is impossible. For both are medial (areas) [Prop. 10.21], and a medial (area) cannot exceed a(nother) medial (area) by a rational (area) [Prop. 10.26]. Thus, another rational (straight-line), which is commensurable in square only with the whole, cannot be attached to  $AB$ .

Thus, only one rational (straight-line), which is commensurable in square only with the whole, can be attached to an apotome. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.