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The fact that an indefinite integral is a set of functions is often ignored, perhaps because of the apparent simplicity of the situation. However, if we regard

$$
\int \frac{d x}{x} \text { or } \int \frac{\cos x}{\sin x} d x
$$

as functions, we can easily develop fallacious proofs of such "identities" as $0=1$.
In this note we introduce a semigroup operation on the set of all nonempty subsets of a vector space. Then we indicate how the indefinite integral can be viewed as a set-valued function (or multifunction) and how this point of view avoids the fallacies mentioned above. Finally, we show how the multifunction given by the indefinite integral induces a linear function on the space of continuous functions.

Algebraic set operations Let $X$ be a vector space over the real numbers, and let $P(X)$ denote the family of all nonempty subsets of $X$. We define addition and scalar multiplication on the family $P(X)$ by

$$
A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}
$$

and

$$
\alpha A=\{\alpha a: a \in A\}
$$

where $A, B \in P(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $A-B=A+(-1) B$. These are called algebraic set operations. Notice that $(P(X),+)$ is not a group if $X \neq\{0\}$. Indeed, $\{0\}$ is the neutral element in $(P(X),+)$, and for every $A \in P(X)$

$$
A+X=X
$$

so $X$ has no inverse element. The operation + is associative and commutative. The
following properties of the operations hold

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(\beta A) & =(\alpha \beta) A  \tag{1}\\
\alpha(A+B) & =\alpha A+\alpha B  \tag{2}\\
1 A & =A . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha+\beta) A \subseteq \alpha A+\beta A \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, but the opposite inclusion need not hold. (Setting $X=\mathbb{R}, A=\{-1,1\}$, and $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2}$ gives a counterexample.) Other properties of algebraic set operations include the following, where $A, B, C \in P(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \in A-A  \tag{5}\\
(0 \in A \text { and } A+B \subseteq C) & \Rightarrow B \subseteq C  \tag{6}\\
\alpha \neq 0 & \Rightarrow(A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \alpha A \subseteq \alpha B)  \tag{7}\\
A+B \subseteq C & \Rightarrow B \subseteq C-A  \tag{8}\\
A=B & \Rightarrow A+C=B+C . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The converse to (8) does not hold, as shown by the example $A=B=X$ and $C=\{0\}$. For $X=\mathbb{R}, A=[0,1], B=\{1\}$, and $C=[1,2]$ we have $A+B=C$ and $B \neq C-A$. Thus, in general, $A+B=C$ does not imply $B=C-A$.

Some formulae, that do not hold in the general case, do hold for convex sets. A set $A \in P(X)$ is convex if for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, and $\alpha+\beta=1$,

$$
\alpha A+\beta A \subseteq A
$$

The converse implication to (9) need not hold in general (e.g., $A \neq X$ and $C=X$ ). However, if $X$ is a normed vector space, $B$ is closed and convex, and $C$ is bounded, then (see, e.g., [2, Lemma 1])

$$
A+C \subseteq B+C \Rightarrow A \subseteq B
$$

Let $A$ be a convex set, $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$; then

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} A+\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} A \subseteq A
$$

From (7) and (2) we get $\alpha A+\beta A \subseteq(\alpha+\beta) A$, and by (4),

$$
\alpha A+\beta A=(\alpha+\beta) A
$$

In particular, if $A$ is convex then

$$
A+A=2 A
$$

A subset $C$ of $X$ is a subspace if for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\alpha C+\beta C \subseteq C .
$$

Now, for fixed $x \in X$, the subset $\{x\}+C$ is called an affine subspace (flat) parallel to $C$. A flat is a convex set. If $C$ is a subspace of $X$ and $L$ is a flat parallel to $C$, the
following algebraic properties are easily proved:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C+C=C  \tag{10}\\
& L-L=C  \tag{11}\\
& \alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha C=C  \tag{12}\\
& C-C=0  \tag{13}\\
& A \subseteq C \Rightarrow A+C=C  \tag{14}\\
& A \subseteq C \Rightarrow A+L=L . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

For more on algebraic operations with convex sets, see [3].

Indefinite integrals Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, $C(I)$ the vector space of all continuous real functions on $I, C^{1}(I)$ the subspace of all continuously differentiable functions, and $C$ the subspace of all constant functions. A differentiable function $\varphi$ is a primitive function of $f$ if $\varphi^{\prime}=f$ holds. The set of all primitive functions of $f$ is called the indefinite integral of $f$, and denoted by

$$
\int f=\left\{\varphi: \varphi^{\prime}=f\right\}
$$

Let $f, g \in C(I)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$; then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int f \neq \phi  \tag{16}\\
C=\int f-\int f  \tag{17}\\
\int f+g=\int f+\int g  \tag{18}\\
0 \cdot \int f \subseteq \int 0 \cdot f  \tag{19}\\
\varphi \in \int f \Leftrightarrow \int f=\{\varphi\}+C  \tag{20}\\
\alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow \int \alpha f=\alpha \int f  \tag{21}\\
A \subseteq C \Rightarrow \int f+A=\int f  \tag{22}\\
f \in C^{1}(I) \Rightarrow \int f^{\prime}=\{f\}+C  \tag{23}\\
u, v \in C^{1}(I) \Rightarrow \int u v^{\prime}=\{u v\}-\int u^{\prime} v . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

We prove the properties (18) and (24); the others have similar proofs. For (18), let $\varphi \in \int f$ and $\psi \in \int g$; then $\int f+\int g=(\{\varphi\}+C)+(\{\psi\}+C)$. By the commutative and associative laws and (10), we have

$$
\int f+\int g=\{\varphi+\psi\}+C
$$

Since $(\varphi+\psi)^{\prime}=f+g$, (20) shows that

$$
\int f+\int g=\int(f+g)
$$

To deduce (24), the formula for integration by parts, let $\varphi \in \int u v^{\prime}$. Then $\varphi^{\prime}=$ $(u v)^{\prime}-u^{\prime} v$. Since $\int(u v)^{\prime}-u^{\prime} v=\{u v\}-\int u^{\prime} v$ we have $\varphi \in\{u v\}-\int u^{\prime} v$, so $\int u v^{\prime} \subseteq$ $\{u v\}-\int u^{\prime} v$. Conversely, if $\varphi \in\{u v\}-\int u^{\prime} v$ there exists a function $\psi \in \int u^{\prime} v$ such that $\varphi=u v-\psi$. Since $\varphi^{\prime}=(u v)^{\prime}-u^{\prime} v=u v^{\prime}$, we have $\varphi \in \int u v^{\prime}$, so $\{u v\}-\int u^{\prime} v \subseteq \int u v^{\prime}$.

Note that, by (20), ff is a flat, so (11) implies (17).
Example 1. Let $I=(0, \pi)$ and for $x \in I, f(x)=\cos x / \sin x$. Let $J=\int f$. Using integration by parts, where $u(x)=1 / \sin x$ and $v(x)=\sin x$, we get $J=1+J$. Failure to notice that an indefinite integral is a set leads to the fallacious conclusion that $0=1$. However, from (24) we have $J=\{u v\}+J$ where $u v \in C$. Therefore, by (22), $J=J$.

A mistake can also be made in calculating integrals when incorrect set formulae are used. For example, from the "equality"

$$
J=u(x) v(x)-J
$$

one might conclude that $2 J=u(x) v(x)$, which is also incorrect.
Example 2. Let $I=\mathbb{R}, f(x)=e^{x} \sin x$, and $g(x)=e^{x}(\cos x-\sin x)$. Using (24) we get

$$
\int f=\{g\}-\int f
$$

Clearly, $\{g\} \neq 2 \int f$. However, $\int f=\{g\}-\int f$ implies, by (9), that

$$
\int f+\int f=\{g\}+\left(\int f-\int f\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
2 \int f=\{g\}+C
$$

and, by (7) and (12),

$$
\int f=\left\{\frac{g}{2}\right\}+C
$$

The antiderivative multifunction Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. A multivalued function (or simply a multifunction) $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$ is called convex if its graph

$$
\operatorname{gr} F=\{(x, y) \in X \times Y: y \in F(x)\}
$$

is a convex set. This is equivalent to th $\geqslant$ condition that

$$
\alpha F\left(x_{1}\right)+\beta F\left(x_{2}\right) \subseteq F\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$, and all $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha+\beta=1$.
We say that $F$ is closed on $X$ if $\operatorname{gr} F$ is a closed set in the product topology on $X \times Y$. This is equivalent to the condition that $x_{k} \rightarrow x, y_{k} \rightarrow y, x_{k} \in X$, and $y_{k} \in F\left(x_{k}\right)$ imply $y \in F(x)$.

Le Van Hot [1, Theorem 2] has proved that if $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces and $F$ : $X \rightarrow P(Y)$ is a convex closed multifunction such that $\operatorname{dom}(F)=X$ and $F\left(x_{0}\right)$ is bounded for some $x_{0} \in X$, then there exists a unique linear single-valued function $T$ : $X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F(0)+T(x) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without the assumption that $F\left(x_{0}\right)$ is bounded for some $x_{0} \in X$, the conclusion of Le Van Hot's Theorem is not true. Consider, for example, the multifunction $F$ : $X \rightarrow P(Y)$, given by $F(f)=\int f$, where $X=Y=C([0,1])$. Note that $C([0,1])$ is a Banach space with

$$
\|f\|=\max \{|f(x)|: x \in[0,1]\}
$$

By (18) and (21), $F$ is a convex function. Also, $F$ is closed by the uniform convergence and differentiation theorem [4, Theorem 7.17]. By (16), we have

$$
\operatorname{dom}(F)=\{f \in X: F(f) \neq \phi\}=C([0,1])
$$

However, $F(f)$ is unbounded for each $f \in C([0,1])$. In this case the formula (25) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f=\int 0+\{T(f)\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\int f=\{T(f)\}+C
$$

If we let $T: C([0,1]) \rightarrow C([0,1])$ be the linear function given by

$$
T(f)(x)=\varphi(x)-\varphi(c)
$$

where $\varphi \in f f$ and $c$ is any number in $[0,1]$, then (26) holds. However, $T$ is not unique.
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