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The butterfly problem, which dates back to at least 1815, seems to hold a continual interest. It has had a variety of proofs and extensions in recent years. A synopsis of this celebrated problem is given in [4] and a very comprehensive update is given in [1]. In this note, we provide a new proof of one of its extensions-namely, the double butterfly theorem.

This theorem, as given by D. Jones in [3], is
Double Butterfly Theorem. Let PQ be a fixed chord of a circle and let "butterfly R" and "butterfly S" be inscribed in the circle and oriented such that their wings cut $P Q$ (in order from left to right) at $R_{4}, R_{3}, R_{2}, R_{1}$ and $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}$ respectively. If $P R_{1}=Q S_{1}, P R_{2}=Q S_{2}$, and $P R_{3}=Q S_{3}$, then $P R_{4}=Q S_{4}$ (see Figure 1).

For our proof we use a lemma attributed to Hiroshi Haruki [2].


Lemma. Suppose $P Q$ and $C D$ are non-intersecting chords in a circle and that $B$ is a variable point on the arc $P Q$ remote from $C$ and $D$. Then for each position of $B$, the lines $B C$ and $B D$ cut $P Q$ into segments of lengths $x, y, z$ where $x z / y$ is a constant (see Figure 2).


FIGURE 2

This lemma, which deserves a much wider recognition, is proved in [2] by elementary geometry and in [1] by cross ratios. For our proof of the theorem we let $m=P R_{4}, \quad n=Q S_{4}, \quad a=P R_{3}=Q S_{3}, \quad b=R_{3} R_{2}=S_{3} S_{2}, c=R_{2} R_{1}=S_{2} S_{1}, d=R_{1} S_{1}$, and $e=a+b+c+d$ as seen in Figure 1. By applying Haruki's lemma twice to points $A$ and $B$ and fixed chords $P Q$ and $C D$ of butterfly $R$, we have

$$
\frac{m(b+c+e)}{a-m}=\frac{(a+b) e}{c}
$$

since both are equal to the same constant. Similarly

$$
\frac{n(b+c+e)}{a-n}=\frac{(a+b) e}{c}
$$

for butterfly $S$. By solving these two equations simultaneously, we obtain $m=n$ which completes the proof.
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